## **Code for Climate Hackathon**



## Participants Name:

## **Email Address:**

Description	Criteria	Weight (%)	Scale (1-5)
Creativity	Originality and innovation in the approach, ideas, and solutions presented.	20%	
- Originality:	How unique and innovative is the solution?		1 = Very Poor: The solution is not original at all. 5 = Excellent: The solution is highly unique and innovative.
- Innovation:	Does the project introduce new ideas or methods?		1 = Very Poor: The project does not introduce any new ideas or methods. 5 = Excellent: The project introduces highly innovative ideas or methods.
- Problem- Solving:	Does the project creatively address the problem?		1 = Very Poor: The project does not creatively address the problem. 5 = Excellent: The project addresses the problem in a highly creative way.

Use of Microsoft Al Tools	Effective and innovative use of Microsoft Al tools to enhance the project.	50%	
- Integration:	How well are Microsoft AI tools integrated into the project?		1 = Very Poor: Microsoft AI tools are poorly integrated. 5 = Excellent: Microsoft AI tools are excellently integrated.
- Effectiveness:	Are the Microsoft AI tools used effectively to solve the problem?		1 = Very Poor: Microsoft AI tools are used ineffectively 5 = Excellent: Microsoft AI tools are used highly effectively.
- Innovation:	Are the Microsoft AI tools used in a novel or creative way?		1 = Very Poor: Microsoft AI tools are not used in a novel or creative way. 5 = Excellent: Microsoft AI tools are used in a highly novel and creative way.
- Complexity:	Does the project leverage advanced Microsoft AI techniques?		1 = Very Poor: The project uses basic techniques. 5 = Excellent: The project leverages advanced techniques.
Relation to the Theme	Alignment with the theme of addressing Al Solutions for a Greener Planet	15%	
- Alignment:	How well does the project align with the theme?		1 = Very Poor: The project does not align with the theme. 5 = Excellent: The project perfectly aligns with the theme.
- Contextual	Understanding: Does the project demonstrate a deep understanding of the local context and challenges?		1 = Very Poor: The project shows poor understanding of the local context 5 = Excellent: The project shows excellent understanding of the local context.
- Cultural Sensitivity:	Is the project culturally sensitive and appropriate?		1 = Very Poor: The project is not culturally sensitive. 5 = Excellent: The project is highly culturally sensitive.

Technical	Quality of the technical implementation,	10%	
Implementation	including code quality, functionality, and	1070	
	robustness.		
- Code Quality:	Is the code well-written and maintainable?		1 = Very Poor: The
			code is poorly written
			and not maintainable.
			5 = Excellent: The
İ			code is well-written
			and maintainable.
- Functionality:	Does the project work as intended?		1 = Very Poor: The
·			project does not work
			as intended.
l			5 = Excellent: The
l			project works
l			perfectly as intended.
- Robustness	Is the solution robust and reliable?	1	1 = Very Poor: The
.1054011000	is the solution robust and reliable?		solution is not robust
l			or reliable.
l			5 = Excellent: The
l			solution is highly
l			robust and reliable.
- Scalability:	Can the solution be scaled for larger		1 = Very Poor: The
- Scatability.	Can the solution be scaled for larger		solution is not
	applications?		scalable
			5 = Excellent: The
l			
İ			solution is highly scalable.
Impost and	Potential impact of the solution on Al	E0/	scatable.
Impact and Feasibility	Solutions for a Greener Planet.	5%	
			1 = Vary Doory The
- Impact:	What is the potential impact of the solution		1 = Very Poor: The
	on math performance?		solution has very low
			impact. 5 = Excellent: The
			solution has very high
			impact.
- Feasibility:			
- Feasibility:	How feasible is the solution for real-world		1 = Very Poor: The
- Feasibility:	How feasible is the solution for real-world implementation?		solution is not
- reasibility:			solution is not feasible
- reasibility:			solution is not feasible 5 = Excellent: The
- reasibility:			solution is not feasible 5 = Excellent: The solution is highly
•	implementation?		solution is not feasible 5 = Excellent: The solution is highly feasible.
- Feasibility:			solution is not feasible 5 = Excellent: The solution is highly feasible. 1 = Very Poor: The
•	implementation?		solution is not feasible 5 = Excellent: The solution is highly feasible. 1 = Very Poor: The solution is not
•	implementation?		solution is not feasible 5 = Excellent: The solution is highly feasible. 1 = Very Poor: The solution is not sustainable.
•	implementation?		solution is not feasible 5 = Excellent: The solution is highly feasible. 1 = Very Poor: The solution is not
•	implementation?		solution is not feasible 5 = Excellent: The solution is highly feasible. 1 = Very Poor: The solution is not sustainable.
•	implementation?		solution is not feasible 5 = Excellent: The solution is highly feasible. 1 = Very Poor: The solution is not sustainable. 5 = Excellent: The

## Use the check boxes below to ensure you have accurately completed your hack:

Integration of Microsoft Al Tools: I have ensured Microsoft Al tools are well integrated into
the project. Have I used more than 3 Microsoft AI Tools?
Effectiveness of Al Tools: I have used Microsoft Al tools effectively to solve the problem
Innovation with AI Tools: I have applied Microsoft AI tools in a novel or creative way.
Complexity of Al Techniques: I have leveraged advanced Microsoft Al techniques in the project.
Originality: I have developed a unique and innovative solution.
<b>Creative Problem-Solving</b> : I have addressed the problem creatively with new ideas or methods.
Code Quality: I have written well-documented and maintainable code.
System Architecture: I have ensured the system architecture is robust and scalable.
Relevance to Theme: I have aligned the project well with the theme of solving drunk driving
issues.
Impact and Feasibility: I have ensured the solution has a potential positive impact and is
feasible for real-world implementation.